

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,279	05/04/2001	Ian Robinson	1762.7700	7690
5514	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
FITZPATR	ICK CELLA HARPE	ROSENBAUM, MARK		
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2. TORK			3725	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/848,279	ROBINSON, IAN				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Mark Rosenbaum	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
September 2003 .					
nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
_					
Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
5)					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
ovisional application has been rec ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120					
Attachment(s)					
·	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	Examiner Mark Rosenbaum Dears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cover sheet application to become ABANDONE and the cover sheet application to become ABANDONE and the cover sheet application, even if timely filled the cover sheet application, even if timely filled the cover sheet application is non-final. September 2003. In accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sheet apply to this Office action. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and shave been received in Application that the cover sheet apply to the certified copies not received in the cover sheet apply to the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the certified copies in the certified cop				

Application/Control Number: 09/848,279

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

After careful consideration of applicant's amendment and the art of record, the indication of allowability of all of the claims except for claim 10 is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9,11,12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-5,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Japanese '953 publication. See figure 6(c) which shows a roll mill including a roll with a central flat section overlying a grooved table.

Claims 1-3,5-8,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimojima et al. See figure 14(3) which shows a roll mill including a roll with a central flat section overlying a grooved table.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,279

Art Unit: 3725

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR